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## ABSTRACT

During fall 1974, the University of Maryland at College Park evaluated its research programs as part of the decennial accreditation process. Data were collected on a comparison group of 35 state universities in order to place the Maryland activities in a national contert. Most of the data presented in this report extend over a 10 -year period, 1965 to 1974. During this period, federal support for scientific research reached a peak and then declined; all the universities had an opportunity to expand their research programs. Four kinds of indicators for scientific research were used: National Science Foundation grants, publications in frequently-cited journals (in mathematics, physics, and chemistry), prestige of graduate programs, and members of advisory panels that review applications for research grants. For social sciences and humanities, other fellouships and grants awarded were also included. General information is given for the 35 universities on number of doctoral degrees granted, faculty compensation and state support, library resources, prestige of graduate programs, and total federal funding. The highest ranking universities are then cited for each of these areas: mathematics, physical sciences, an engineering; life sciences; and behavioral and social sciences, and humanities. (IBH)
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In the fall of 1974 the University of Maryland's College Park campus undertook an evaluation of its research programs as part of the decennial accreditation process. In order to place our own activities in a national context, we collected various kinds of data on a comparison group of 35 state universities. These were simply the public universities on the list of 50 institutions granting the largest number of doctoral degrees during 1970-71. It wes a convenient group for our purposes since UMCP comes out somewhere near the middle on most quantitative measures, with many individual departments showing significant deviations above or below the average but still remaining within the range determined by the highest and lowest of the group.

We believe that this group of 35 universities is also a useful popilation for more general studies of research and graduate programs. Each produces several hundred Ph.D.'s annually and offers a wide range of subjects at an advanced level; thus each can be expected to maintain respectable research programs in a variety of scientific and scholarly fields. Selecting the major state universities thus yields a relatively homogeneous group that can be judged by a comon set of oriteria, thereby avoiding the criticisms that apply to attempts at ranking all institutions - public or private, large or small -- on the same scale. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Moreover, our group of 35 could not usefully be enlarged by much,

[^0]because many of the quantities we have tabulated (such as number of panel members or amounts of research grants in certain fields) are either zero or not easily available for the smaller universities. On the other hand a group with fewer members would lack the broad geographical distribution of this one (29 different states).

Most of the data presented here extend over a ten-year period, 1965-1974. This is probably not long enough to reveal any major trends or changes in the relative strengths of members of the group, except in a few cases where a university started a major new effort in a subject it had previously neglected. During this period federal support for scientific research reached a peak and then declined; all the universities had an opportunity to expand their research programs, and the resulting increase in publications was so great that a department which only doubled its output would fall behind the rest (see Tables $2 B$ and $3 B$ ). The impact of the "retrenchment" of the early 1970 s is not yet evident in these figures.

We used four kinds of indicators for scientific research: National Science Foundation grants, publications in frequently-cited journals (in mathematics, physics, and chemistry), prestige of graduate programs, and members of advisory panels that review applications for research grants. For social sciences and humanities we do not have publication counts but we have used fellowships and grants awarded by the National Endowment for the Humanities, American Council of Learned Societies and Social Science Research Council, in addition to NSF grants.

It is not surprising that the same institutions rank high on each scale. The exceptions are more interesting since they suggest that the system is not completely rigid: a new program may first acquire substantial funding and produce important publications, then after a lag of a few years acquire prestige and place its faculty members on government advisory panels. Older programs in the elfte universities may continue
to rank high in "quality" surveys and dominate the federal panels long after they have declined in research productivity. Whether such patterns occur can only be determined by extending these tabulations over longer periods of time.

There has been much debate about whether quantitative measures such as the ones presented here give a valid indication of the "quality" of scientific departments. It seems to be fairly clear that a large part of the variation among institutions can be explained simply by the sizes of the departments, and that no credit is earned for imaginative deviations from the approved way of doing things. A prospective graduate student or foundation program officer who wanted to know whether a department is alive with exciting innovations or merely grinding out competent work within the established paradigm would not find the answer in these statistics. Nevertheless we claim that, taken all together, they measure overall strength of a department and its standing within the scientific comunity. If a scientist can consistently obtain research grants (especially in the 1970s) one may conclude that the community is satisfied with his or her past research performance; and if a scientist publishes frequently in the prestigious refereed journals it demonstrates that some kind of research (whether brilliant or pedestrian) is being done. Drew and Karpf have shown that their, departmental publication index, which we tabulate here, is highly correlated with ratings on the ACE (Cartter and Roose-Anderson) surveys of graduate programs.* Insofar as these ratings also correlate with grants and membership on panels, it is evident that we are dealing with a real

[^1]property of departments, even if that property has intangible and subjective components.

The fact thet the people who judge prestige in ACE surveys, and the people who sit on advisory panels that review grant applications, and the people who edit and referee the major journals, are affiliated with the same smail group of elite universities that enjoy the lion's share of funding and produce a disproportionate number of publications, has been taken as evidence of inbreeding and favoritism in the system. There may indeed be inbreeding and favoritism but this correlation does not prove it; it is just as logical to say that it proves the existence of a hierarchy based on merit. In any case we believe it is useful to describe this hierarchy and its evolution, before trying to reach conclusions about whether it reflects real scientific achievement and competence or only success in a power struggle. A student embarking on a scientific career needs to know which univergities have the strongest departments in his subjects $=-$ where "strength" may mean influence in the academic job market as well as prestige based on valuable research -even though he may choose to attend a "weaker" university which suits his own needs better.

In most disciplines no quantitative publication index is easily available. Moreover, in the humanities and some of the social sciences, some of the best research is sccomplished with littie or no outside funding and may result in only a single mnnograph after a decade of labor. We are therefore less confident that our quantitative measures are reliable guides to the strength of departments.

Finally it should be noted that this report is incomplete $=-$ the reader will easily think of many other things we could have counted --
and lacks any sophisticated statistical analysis or profound interpretation. The reason is simply that we were not able to do ary more in the available time and with the assistance we could obtain for the project.

On looking at the results in Table 2 we find that it is fairly easy to pick out 11 universities that are strong in most areas of the physical sciences and engineering." Regardless of how one wishes to weight the four measures, these universities would be the leaders:

California-Berkeley
California-Los Angeles
Colorado
Illinois
Mary].and
$*$
Michigan
Minnesota
Purdue
Texas
Washington
Wisconsin
They are listed in alphabetical order because we do not feel that any definite ranking within the group can be defended.

In the life sciences and chemistry, "it is a little harder to draw a clear line between the strongest universities and the others. Ten

* Note that Chemistry has been placed with the life sciences, because of the divisional structure at UMCP. Medical and other professional schools have been excluded for all universities, since those of the University of Maryland are not located at the College Park campus.
are clearly outstanding:
California-Berkeley
California-Los Angeles
Illinois
Indiana
Michigan
Michigan State
Purdue
Texas
Washington
Wisconsin
Minnesota follows close behind, but is only a little ahead of several others.

In the social sciences and humanities there is even more difficulty in distinguishing between strong and moderately strong universities with the information available to us. There is no doubt that the following eight head the list:

Califormia-Berkeley
California-Los Angeles
Illinois
Indiana
...
Michigan
Minnesota
Washington
Wisconsin
but five others should also be mentioned: Kansas, North Carolina, Ohio State, Virginia and Texas.

There are six universities which emerge from these comparisons with strength in all areas:

California-Berkeley
California-Los Angeles
Illinois
Michigen

Washington
Wisconsin
These are also the six universities with the highest average ratings on the 1969 ACE survey (Table 1D). Seven others are strong in some areas: Colorado, Indiana, Maryland, Michigan State, Minnesota, Purdue and Texas.

At this point we can tum to Tables $\perp$ and $1 C$ and ask whether the strongest universities are the best financed ones or have the largest libraries. It appears that no single measure of financial support is highly correlated with research strength. Wisconsin, Califormia and Washington rank high on the scale of ". state appropriations for higher education as share of personal income." Michigan and Califormia pay fairly good salaries to professors, but fall behind New York, New Jersey and Virginia. Illinois is distinguished mainily for the size of its library, an indication of past support for the university. The six strongest univergities (sg listed above) ali rank in the top ten for total library holdings and current periodical subscriptions; and, of the 15 universities having the largest libraries, nearly every one fis fairiy strong in at least one area. We suspect that it is not so much the number of books that makes a university good in a subject like mathematics, but rather that a large library reflects a long=term commitment by the state and the university to academic research.

We thank Nancy King and Denise Brush for performing some of the tabulations included in this report. David Drew's permission to reproduce some of his resuits is gratefuily acknowledged.

## Tabie 1. General Information [a] <br> (notes are on page 14)

1A. Number of Ph.D.'s granted 1970-71 [b]


## 13

Table 1 (cont. 2

| 1B. Faculty compensation(\$1000) | Per capita income |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1974-75 AAUP Survey | for state, 1973 |
| Prof. Assoc. Asst. | $(\$ 1000)$ |

Ratio of Prof.'s compensation to per capita income


Table 1 (cont.)

IB (cont.). State appropriations for as share of personal income (\%) [e]
acation
as share of state general revenue $(\%)$ [e]

| Arizona | 1.61 | 2 | 18.9 | 13 | \$1,610 | 33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cal-Berk. | 1.46 | $4+$ | 16.7 | 23+ | 2,080 | 16+ |
| Cal-L.A. | 1.46 | $4+$ | 16.7 | $23+$ | 2,080 | $16+$ |
| Colorado | 1.20 | 9 | 20.7 | 8 | 1,750 | 30 |
| Floride | 0.94 | $18+$ | 18.0 | $15+$ | 1,990 | $18+$ |
| Fla. St. | 0.94 | $18+$ | 18.0 | 15+ | 1,990 | $18+$ |
| Georgia | 0.92 | 22 | 15.6 | 26 | 2,180 | 13 |
| Illinois | 1.00 | 15 | 15,0 | 27 | 2,380 | 7 |
| Indiane | 0.90 | 25+ | 22.3 | $5+$ | 2,380 | $?$ |
| Purdue | 0.90 | $25+$ | 22.3 | $5+$ | 2,380 | 7 |
| Iowa | 0.95 | 16+ | 17.6 | $19+$ | 2,780 | $3+$ |
| Iowa St. | 0.95 | $16+$ | 17.6 | 19+ | 2,780 | $3+$ |
| Kansas | 1.04 | 12 | 21.5 | 7 | 2,220 | 12 |
| La. St. | 0.92 | 21 | 11.3 | 30 | 1,830 | $28+$ |
| Maryland. | 0.78 | 30 | 13.0 | 28 | 1,970 | $20+$ |
| Mass. | 0.64 | 34 | 8.3 | 35 | 1,630 | $31+$ |
| Michigan | 1.02 | 13+ | 17.8 | $17+$ | 1,930 | 24 |
| Mich. St. | 1.02 | $13+$ | 17.8 | 17+ | 1,930 | 24 |
| Minnesota | 0.93 | 20 | 10.1 | 33 | 2,260 | 10 |
| Missouri | 0.88 | 27 | 16.4 | 25 | 1,960 | 22 |
| Nebraska | 0.92 | 24 | 32.3 | 1 | 2,250 | 11 |
| Rutgers | 0.69 | 33 | 10.5 | 32 | 1,930 | 24 |
| SUNY-Buff. | 1.25 | 7 | 11.3 | 31 | 3,550 | 1 |
| N. Car. | 1.23 | 8 | 16.9 | 22 | 2,330 | 9 |
| Ohio St. | 0.62 | 35 | 12.4 | 29 | 1,840 | 27 |
| Oklahoma | 0.76 | $31+$ | 24.8 | $3+$ | 1,370 | $34+$ |
| Okla. St. | 0.76 | $31+$ | 24.8 | $3+$ | 1,370 | $34+$ |
| Oregon | 1.14 | 10 | 26.5 | 2 | 1,970 | $20+$ |
| Pern. St. | 0.80 | 28 | 9.5 | 34 | - 2,991 | 2 |
| Tenn. | 0.92 | 23 | 20.1 | 9 | 1,630 | $31+$ |
| Texas | 1.07 | 11 | 20.1 | 10 | 2,160 | 14 |
| Uteh | 1.47 | 3 | 18.9 | 14 | 1,830 | $28+$ |
| Virginia | 0.79 | 29 | 17.3 | . 21 | 1.850 | . 26 |
| Wash. | 1.31 | 6 | 19.3 | 11 | 2,100 | 15 |
| Wisconsin | 1.67 | 1 | 19.0 | 12 | 2,497 | 5 |

Table 1 (cont.)
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 1C. Library resources } & \text { (1974) } \\ \text { Number of volumes } & \text { Current periodicals } \\ (1,000,000) & \text { subscriptions ( } 1,000 \text { ) }\end{array}$
1D. Prestige of Graduate Programs Weighted means of all departments
19641969

| Arizona | 1.58 | 21 | 17.5 | 26 | 30 | 27 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cal-Berk. | 4.48 | 3 | 96.0 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Cal-L.A. | 3.40 | 7 | 49.9 | 3 | 6 | 5 |
| Colorado | 1.81 | 15 | 25.3 | 12 | 19 | 16 |
| Florida | 1.71 | 20 | 20.2 | 20 | 23 | 22 |
| Fla. St. | 1.08 | 34 | 12.4 | 33 | 25 | 29 |
| Georgia | 1.44 | 25 | 24.4 | 13 | 35 | 31 |
| Illinois | 5.33 | 1 | 96.8 | 1 | 4 | 4 |
| Indiana | 3.67 | 4 | 33.4 | 8 | 9 | 9 |
| Purdue | 1.09 | 33 | 18.9 | 23 | 13 | 15 |
| Iowa | 1.81 | 14 | 22.8 | 17 | 14 | 13 |
| Iowa St. | 1.01 | 35 | 15.1 | 31 | 17 | 21 |
| Kansas | 1.75 | 17 | 24.2 | 14 | 16 | 17 |
| La. St. | 1.48 | 23 | 15.9 | $30^{-}$ | 25 | 30 |
| Maryland | 1.38 | 26 | 7.2 | 27 | . 21 | 24 |
| Mass. | 1.29 | 27 | 16.2 | 29 | 31 | 25 |
| Michigan | 4.55 | 2 | 46.2 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| Mich. St. | 2.08 | 11 | 27.8 | 11 | 12 | 10 |
| Minnesota | 3.48 | 6 | 29.1 | 10 | 5 | 8 |
| Missouri | 1.75 | 16 | 21.6 | 18 | 33 | 28 |
| Nebraska | 1.16 | 31 | 18.8 | 25 | 26 | 35 |
| Rutgers | 1.74 | 19 | 19.3 | 22 | 20 | 20 |
| SUNY-Buff. | 1.48 | 22 | 14.2 | 32 | 29 | 19 |
| N. Car. | 2.04 | 12 | 23.3 | 16 | 11 | 12 |
| Ohio St. | 2.91 | 8 | 24.2 | 15 | 10 | 11 |
| Oklahoma | 1.24 | 28 | 10.5 | 35 | 28 | $32+$ |
| Okla. St. | 1.12 | 32 | 11.8 | 30 | 34 | 34 |
| Oregon | 1.22 | 29 | 18.9 | 24 | 18 | 18 |
| Penn. St. | 1.74 | 18 | 31.5 | 9 | 15 | 14 |
| Tenn. | 1.17 | 30 | 20.7 | 19 | 32 | $32+$ |
| Texas | 3.52 | 5 | 40.8 | 7 | 8 | 7 |
| Utah | 1.45 | 24 | 16.2 | 28 | 24 | 26 |
| Virginia | 1.95 | 13 | 19.4 | 21 | 22 | 23 |
| Wash. | 2.10 | 10 | 41.2 | 6 | 7 | 6 |
| Wisconsin | 2.78 | 9 | 44.3 | 5 | 3 | 3 |

Table 1 (cont.)
Table 1E. Federal Research and Development Funds, FY 74 ( $\$ 1,000,000$ ) [i].

Phys.Sci.
(astr.phys.
$\begin{array}{ll} & \text { Envir. Engr. } \\ \text { Math. Sci. } \\ \text { Ser }\end{array}$

| Arizona | 12.4 | 18 | 2.6 | 17 | 0.1 | 29 | 1.4 | 9 | 1.8 | 13 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Cal-Berk. | 44.1 | 4 | 6.9 | 2 | 1.8 | 2 | 3.8 | 3 | 4.5 | 4 |
| Cal-L.A. | 53.4 | 2 | 5.3 | 5 | 1.9 | 1 | 3.6 | 4 | 2.9 | 6 |
| Colorado | 23.6 | $8^{-}$ | 3.8 | 9 | 0.4 | 14 | 3.4 | 5 | 0.9 | 20 |
| Florida | 13.0 | 17 | 1.8 | 20 | 0.5 | 13 | 0.6 | 20 | 1.6 | 14 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fla. St. | 8.5 | 25 | 1.5 | 23 | 0.3 | 20 | 0.7 | 17 | 0.0 | 32 |
| Georgia | 7.3 | 29 | 0.7 | 29 | 0.0 | 30 | 0.4 | 23 | 0.2 | 30 |
| Illinois | 32.7 | 7 | 8.1 | 1 | 1.6 | 3 | 2.7 | 6 | 7.2 | 1 |
| Indiana | 8.2 | 26 | 2.7 | 15 | 0.9 | 6 | 0.2 | 27 | 0.8 | 23 |
| Purdue | 18.0 | 14 | 4.3 | 7 | 0.7 | 7 | 1.4 | 10 | 2.8 | 7 |
| Iowa |  | 18.5 | 13 | 2.7 | 14 | 0.1 | 27 | 0.2 | 29 | 0.8 |

Oklahoma
Okla. St.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Oregon | 5.4 | 32 | 1.0 | 26 | 0.4 | 154 | 0.2 | 28 | 0.1 | 31 |
| Penn. St. | 17.8 | 15 | 2.1 | 18 | 0.2 | 24 | 1.7 | 8 | 3.0 | 5 |
| Tenn. | 8.1 | 27 | 1.5 | 22 | 0.1 | 26 | 0.1 | 31 | 1.1 | 18 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |
| Texas | 21.1 | 9 | 3.9 | 8 | 0.3 | 17 | 0.9 | 15 | 6.9 | 2 |
| Utah | 20.3 | 11 | 2.9 | 13 | 0.5 | 12 | 1.1 | 13 | 2.0 | 11 |
| Virginia | 11.3 | 20 | 1.4 | 24 | 0.3 | 18 | 0.5 | 21 | 1.9 | 12 |
| Wash. | 56.9 | 1 | 3.7 | 10 | 0.7 | 10 | 9.4 | 1 | 2.1 | 10 |
| Wisconsin | 51.1 | 3 | 5.8 | 3 | 1.5 | 4 | 3.8 | 2 | 2.1 | 9 |

Table 1 (cont.)

Table 1E (cont.). Federal Research and Development Funds, FY 74 ( $\$ 1,000,000$ ) [i]

| Life Social |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sci. Psych. Sci. other |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Arizona | 5.1 | 21 | 0.0 | 31 | 0.7 | 21 | 0.7 |
| Cal-Berk. | 18.3 | 5 | 1.0 | 7 | 5.0 | 3 | 2.8 |
| Cal-L.A. | 34.0 | 1 | 2.0 | 2 | 2.2 | 5 | 1.6 |
| Colorado | 12.0 | 9 | 0.8 | 11 | 0.4 | 27 | 0.9 |
| Florida | 2.1 | 15 | 0.9 | 8 | 0.2 | 30 | 0.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fla. St. | 1.9 | 29 | 0.2 | 26 | 0.5 | 26 | 3.5 |
| Georgia | 4.4 | 22 | 0.0 | 32 | 0.9 | 17 | 0.6 |
| Illinois | 7.8 | 13 | 0.9 | 9 | 1.6 | 9 | 2.9 |
| Indiana | 1.9 | 30 | 0.6 | 15 | 1.1 | $15+$ | 0.1 |
| Purdue | 6.2 | 18 | 0.7 | 14 | 0.8 | 19 | 0.9 |
| Iowa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iowa St. | 13.1 | 8 | 0.3 | 21 | 0.2 | 29 | 1.3 |
| Kansas | 2.7 | 27 | 0.0 | 30 | 1.2 | 14 | 0.3 |
| La. St. | 6.3 | 17 | 0.2 | 22 | 0.7 | 22 | 0.4 |
| Maryland | 2.0 | 28 | 0.3 | 19 | 0.5 | 25 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mass. | 2.7 | 26 | 0.5 | 17 | 0.6 | 23 | 0.6 |
| Michigan | 17.2 | 6 | 2.0 | 1 | 5.0 | 2 | 1.8 |
| Mich.St. | 8.4 | 12 | 0.3 | 20 | 1.5 | 12 | 0.4 |
| Minnesota | 26.0 | 4 | 0.9 | 10 | 1.8 | 6 | 0.9 |
| Missouri | 5.9 | 20 | 0.2 | $24+$ | 1.1 | $15+$ | 0.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nebraska | 1.8 | 31 | 0.1 | 29 | 1.6 | 10 | 0.2 |
| Rutgers | 3.2 | 25 | 1.6 | 4 | 0.5 | 24 | 0.9 |
| SUNY-Buff. | 7.1 | 16 | 0.2 | 28 | 0.0 | 32 | 0.3 |
| N. Car. | 15.4 | 7 | 1.2 | 6 | 0.8 | 20 | 0.9 |
| Ohio St. | 7.5 | 14 | 0.3 | 18 | 4.4. | 4 | 0.8 |

Oklahoma
Okla. St.

|  |  | 2.6 | 32 | 0.5 | 16 | 1.6 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oregon | 8.7 | 11 | 0.2 | 244 | 1.3 | 13 | 0.6 |
| Penn. St. | 3.9 | 23 | 0.2 | 27 | 0.9 | 18 | 0.4 |
| Tenn. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.3 | 24 | 0.8 | 12 | 1.5 | 11 | 3.5 |
| Texas | 10.9 | 10 | 1.5 | 5 | 0.4 | 28 | 1.0 |
| Utah | 6.2 | 19 | 0.2 | 23 | 0.1 | 31 | 0.7 |
| Virginia | 23.9 | 2 | 0.7 | 13 | 1.8 | 7 | 4.7 |
| Waah. | 3.1 | 3 | 1.7 | 3 | 6.8 | 1 | 2.3 |

[a] Here and in other tables, italic numbers indicate rankings within the group of 35 universities. If two or more universities were tied for the same ranking, each was assigned the mean ranking for the group; thus if 5 were tied for lyth place each would be assigned a ranking of 17. If 6 were tied for 15 th place euch would be assigned a ranking of 17.5, Written as $17+$ in the table to save space. Rankings were computed on the basis of original data, which have then been rounded off for presentation in the table.
[b] Source: Department of Health, Education and Welfare.
[c] The University of California system reports only a single set of figures for all campuses.
[d] No figures reported for $1974-75$; estimated by assuming same percentage increase over previous years as for Florida.
[e] Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching, as reported in Chronicle of Higher Education, May 31,1976, p. 8.
[f] State and local tax revenue collected per full-time equivalent student in public institutions, multiplied by ratio of state and local tax revenue appropriated or levied for operating expenses of higher education to state and lecal tax revenue collected. This index, developed by D. Kent Halstead of the National Institute of Education, "suggests the financial cominitment of state and local governments to supporting higher education consistent with available funds and expressed'need." Chronicle of "Higher Education, March 8, 1976, p. 4.
[g] Compiled by staff at Mokeldin Library, University of Maryland.
[h] W. R. Petrowski, E. L. Brown and J. A. Duffy, ""National Universities" and the ACE ratings," Journal of Higher Education 44: 495-513 (1973).
[i] "Federal Support to Universities, Colleges, and Selected Nonprofit Institutions, Fiscal Year 1974," prepared by Division of Science Resources Studies, National Science Foundation (Washingtoni D.C., 1976). We thank J. G. Huckenpahler for providing copies of the statistical tables in advance of publication. See Table $\mathrm{B}-18$, pp. 58-59. The following are not included here: NSF institutional development grants; NIH General Research Grants Program; Research \& Depvelopment Plant "funds; Fellowships and traineeships; any funds from National Endowment for the Humanities. Figures for Oklahoma, Louisiana State, and Oklahoma State are omitted because they were not among the top 100 institutions in total R\&D funds.

Table 2A. NSF Grants ( $\$ 1000$ ) [a] (notes begin on page 22)
2 year totals (FY70+FY71) and (FY73+FY74)

|  | Astronomf |  |  |  | Math |  |  |  | Physics |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 70-71 |  | 73-74 |  | 70-71 |  |  |  | 70-71 | rank | 73-74 |  |
| Arizona | 420 | 6 | 660 | 3 | 51 | 30 | 101 | 26 | 208 | $20+$ | 1112 | 10 |
| Cal-Berk. | 752 | 2 | 1553 | 1 | 1336 | 1 | 1817 | 1 | 582 | 10 | 1235 | 9 |
| Cal-L.A. | 80 | 15 | 226 | 11 | 799 | 3 | 784 | 4 | 899 | 8 | 1430 | 8 |
| Colorado | 374 | $\cdot 7$ | 572 | 5 | 257 | 13 | 966 | 2 | 205 | 22 | 1054 | 12 |
| Florida | 123 | 12 | 245 | 9 | 37 | 31 | 137 | 23 | 288. | 17 | 380 | 19 |
| Fla. St. | 0 |  | 0 |  | 178 | 20 | 141 | 21 | 540 | 13 | 925 | 13 |
| Georgia | 0 |  | 0 |  | 107 | 26 | 69 | 28 | 122 | 28 | 86 | 32 |
| Illinois | 173 | 10 | - 317 | 8 | 789 | 4 | 893 | 3 | 1514 | 5 | 1701 | 6 |
| Indiane | 73 | 16 | 0 |  | 383 | 10 | 401 | 11 | 1603 | 4 | 2542 | 3 |
| Purdue | 0 |  | 0 |  | 363 | 11. | 416 | 10 | 158. | 26 | 639 | 17 |
| Iowe | 0 |  | 45 | 18 | 179 | 19 | 204 | $16+$ | 470 | 15 | 17 | 34 |
| Iowa St. | 39 | 18 | 22 | 19 | 63 | 28 | 28 | 34 | O | 35 | 52 | 33 |
| Kansas | 0 |  | 0 |  | 209 | 16 | 193 | 18 | 248 | 18 | 347 | 22 |
| La. St. | 29 | 19 | 0 |  | 190 | 17 | 175 | 20 | 170 | $24+$ | 327 | 23 |
| Maryland | 768 | 1 | 489 | 6 | 402 | 9 | 508 | 9 | 1640 | 3 | 2892 | 2 |
| Mass. | 360 | 8 | 810 | 2 | 146 | 23 | 107 | 25 | 446 | 16 | 598 | 18 |
| Michigan | 319 | 9 | 483 | 7 | 853 | 2 | 714 | 6 | 1109 | 7 | 1440 | 7 |
| Mich. St, | 9 | 22 | 80 | 17 | 241 | 14 | 292 | 13 | 1928 | 1 | 2985 | 1 |
| Minnesota | 98 | 14 | 238. | 10 | 665 | 6 | 650 | 8 | 66 | 32 | 114 | 30 |
| Missouri | 0 |  | 0 |  | 21 | 32 | 46 | 31 | 208 | $20+$ | 208 | 27 |
| Nebraska | 28 | $20^{\circ}$ | 0 |  | 12 | 34 | 31 | 32 | 223 | 19 | 286 | 24 |
| Rutgers | 0 |  | 0 |  | 583 | 7 | 703 | 7 | 1825 | 2 | 2440 | 4 |
| SUNY-Buff. | 0 |  | 0 |  | 220 | 15 | 270 | 14 | 55 | 33 | 89 | 31 |
| N. Car. | 0 |  | 0 |  | 60 | 29 | 133 | 24 | 170 | $24+$ | 246 | 25 |
| Ohio St. | 751 | 3 | 1.50 | 13+ | 149 | 22 | 204 | 16. | 564 | 12 | 761 | 14 |
| Oklahoma | 0 |  | 0 |  | 16 | 33 | 30 | 33 | 39 | 34 | 0 |  |
| Okla. St. | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 17 | 35 | 117 | 29 | 164 | 28 |
| Oregon | 62 | 17 | 0 |  | 284 | 12 | 187 | 19 | 522 | 14 | 659 | 15 |
| Penn, St. | 120 | 13 | 0 |  | 134 | 24 | 85 | 27 | 196 | 23 | 219 | 26 |
| Tenn. | 0 |  | 0 |  | 66 | 27 | 55 | 30 | 75 | 31 | 149 | 29 |
| Texas | 523 | 4 | 608 | 4 | 113 | 25 | 138 | 22 | 579 | 11 | 653 | 16 |
| Utah | 0 |  | 130 | 15 | 159 | 21 | 62 | 29 | 663 | 9 | 1076 | 11 |
| Virginia | 144 | 11 | 127 | 16 | 186 | 18 | 263 | 15 | 139 | 27 | 362 | 21 |
| Wash. | 466 |  | 150 | $13+$ | 492 | 8 | 317 | 12 | 1444 | 6 | 1730 | 5 |
| Wisconsin | 22 | 21 | 163 | 12 | 731 | 5 | 780 | 5 | 115 | 30 | 371 | 20 |

(Table 2A, cont.)
NSF Grants (\$1000)

|  | Atmos./earth sci./ fluid/meto. |  |  |  | Chem. Eng. \& Energeties |  |  |  | Electrical Eng. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 70-71 | rank | 73-74 | rank | 70-71 | rank | 73-74 | rank | 70-71 | rank | 73-74 | rank |
| Arizona | 802 | 6 | 756 | 7 | 135 | 13 | 124 | 16 | 166 | 3 | 79 | 15 |
| Cal-Berk. | 701 | 7 | 1313 | 5 | 456 | 3 | 592 | -3 | 125 | 7 | 1228 | 1 |
| Cal-L.A. | 1673 | 1 | 1770 | 1 | 110 | 17 | 175 | 14 | 126 | 6 | 480 | 4 |
| Colorado | 402 | 10 | 965 |  | 47 | 23 | 199 | 13 | 12 | 20 | 287 | 8 |
| Florida | 64 | 24+ | 123 | $26+$ | 83 | 20 | 35 | 25 | 146 | 4 | 6 | 24 |
| Fle. St. | 681. | 8 | 688 | 9 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Georgia | 64 | $24+$ | 161 | 24 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Illinois | 832 | 5 | 665 | 10 | 676 | 1 | 599 | 2 | 90 | 8 | 451 | 5 |
| Indiana | 43 | 27 | 203 | 22 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Purdue | 107 | 19 | 349 | 16 | 117 | 15 | 327 | $7+$ | 329 | 1 | 549 | 2 |
| Iowa | 22 | 29 | 177 | 23 | 59 | 21 | 77 | 21 | 0 |  | 30 | 20 |
| Iowa St. | 106 | 20 | 123 | $26+$ | 52 | 22 | 353 | 6 | 0 |  | 20 | 21 |
| Kansas | 126 | 17 | 214 | 20 | 42 | 24 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 16 | 23 |
| La, St. | 25 | 28 | 34 | 30 | 0 |  | 44 | 24 | 14 | 19 | 0 |  |
| Maryland | 253 | 12 | 382 | 14 | 31 | $26+$ | 6 | 28 | 130 | 5 | 355 | 6 |
| Mass. | 49 | 26 | 236 | 19 | 212 | 9 | 203 | 12 | 0 |  | 250 | 9 |
| Michigan | 526 | 9 | 742 | 8 | 222 | 8 | 752 | 1 | 175 | 2 | 498 | 3 |
| Mich. St. | 0 |  | 0 |  | 98 | 19 | 30 | 27 | 0 |  | 17 | 22 |
| Minnesota | 130 | 16 | 412 | 12 | 364 | 4 | 327 | $7+$ | 0 |  | 98 | 13 |
| Missouri | 236 | $1 \overline{3}$ | 378 | 15 | 242 | 7 | 152 | 15 | 16 | $15+$ | 0 |  |
| Nebraska | 85 | 23 | 65 | 29 | 38 | 25 | 0 |  | 15 | 18 | 0 |  |
| Rutgers | 0 |  | 0 |  | 100 | 18 | 98 | 17 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| SUINY-Buff. | 0 |  | 0 |  | 256 | 6 | 290 | 10 | 61 | 11 | 33 | 19 |
| N. Car. | 233 | 14 | 117 | 28 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Ohio St. | 87 | 22 | 148 | 25 | 31 | $26+$ | 34 | 26 | 15 | 18 | 309 | 7 |
| Oklahoma | 109 | 18 | 328 | 17 | 115 | 16 | 93 | 18 | 74 | 10 | 0 |  |
| Okla. St. | 0 |  | 0 |  | 15. | 28 | 79 | 20 | 15 | 18 | 46 | 18 |
| Oregon | 90 | 21 | 206 | 21 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Penn. St. | 1110 |  | 1554 | 3 | 138 | 12 | 261 | 11 | 30 | 14 | 49 | 17 |
| Tenn. | 0 |  | 30 | 31 | 153 | 11 | 321 | 9 | 16 | $15+$ | 0 |  |
| Texas | 400 | 11 | 294 | 18 | 157 | 10 | 85 | 19 | 82 | 9 | 56 | 16 |
| Utah | 231 | 15 | 396 | 13 | 130 | 14 | 49 | 23 | 0 |  | 86 | 14 |
| Virginia | 0 |  | 517 | 11 | 0 |  | 57 | 22 | 0 |  | 195 | 11 |
| Wesh. | 1050 | 4 | 1450 | 4 | 609 | 2 | 430 | ¢ | 59 | 12 | 118 | 12 |
| Wisconsin | 1354 | 2 | 1640 | 2 | 258 | 5 | 500 | 4 | 38 | 13 | 211 | 10 |

(Table 2A, cont.) NSF Grants ( $\$ 1000$ )

|  | Computing |  |  |  | Engineering/ Materials |  |  |  | Mechanical Eng. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 70-71 | rank | 73-74 | rank | 70-71. | rank | 73-74 | rank | 70-71 | rank | 73-74 | rank |
| Arizona | 0 |  | 85 | 17+ | 52 | 18 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 194 | 16 |
| Cal-Berk. | 484 | 6 | 724 | 3 | 488 | 2 | 184 | 11 | 280 | 6 | 646 | 3 |
| Cal-L.A. | 1319 | 2 | 883 | 2 | 139 | 9 | 444 | 8 | 163 | 10 | 241 | 13 |
| Colorado | 687 | 4 | 548 | 5 | 0 |  | 82 | 18 | 484 | 2 | 293 | 10 |
| Florida | 450 | 8 | 0 |  | 212 | 6 | 0 |  | 36 | 23 | 61 | 24 |
| Pla. St. | 87 | 22 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 54 | 19 | 41 | 25 |
| Georgia | 66 | 24 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Illinois | 1220 | 3 | 4891 | 1 | 469 | 4 | 3140 | 1 | 365 | 4 | 686 | 2 |
| Indiana | 255 | 13 | 17 | 21 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Purdue | 454 | 7 | 650 | 5 | 68 | 14 | 1478 | 2 | 523 | 1 | 494 | 4 |
| Iowa | 383 | 9 | 353 | $9+$ | 0 |  | 0 |  | 97 | 15 | 197 | 15 |
| Iowa st. | 0 |  | 256 | 11 | 57 | 16 | 85 | 17 | 100 | 13 | 327 | 7 |
| Kansas | 121 | 21 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 15 | 27 | 17 | 29 |
| La, St. | 12 | 25 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 15 | $22+$ | 0 |  | 6 | 31 |
| Maryland | 249 | 14 | 408 | 7 | 57 | 16 | 975 | 3 | 0 |  | 34 | 26 |
| Mass. | 0 |  | 85 | 17+ | 130 | 10 | 477 | 7 | 45 | 22 | 90 | 23 |
| Michigan | 363 | 11 | 426 | 6 | 72 | 13 | 139 | 13 | 413 | 3 | 732 | 1 |
| Mi̇ch. St. | 84 | 23 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 45 | 21 | 54 | 19+ | 173 | 17 |
| Minnesota | 183 | 18 | 13 | 22 | 220 | 5 | 217 | 10 | 203 | 9 | 353 | 6 |
| Missouri | 6 | 26 | 10 | $23+$ | 87 | 11 | 0 |  | 31 | 24 | 22 | 27 |
| Nebraska | 0 |  | 0 |  | 15 | 21+ | 65 | 19 | 0 |  | 17 | 29 |
| Rutgers | 365 | 10 | 24 | 20 | 13 | 23 | 126 | 14 | 48 | 21 | 199 | 14 |
| SUNY-Buff. | 293 | 12 | 200 | 12 | 15 | 21+ | 15 | 22+ | 161 | 11 | 167 | 19 |
| N. Car. | 198 | 17 | 65 | 19 | 0 |  | 925 | 5 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Ohio St. | 0 |  | 147 | 14 | 149 | 8 | 372 | 9 | 319 | 5 | 296 | 8 |
| Oklahoma | 0 |  | 0 |  | 12 | 24 | 0 |  | 16 | 26 | 17 | 29 |
| Okla. St. | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 75 | 17 | 271 | 12 |
| Oregon | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Penn. St. | 218 | 15 | 132 | 16 | 648 | 1 | 964 | 4 | 64 | 18 | 279 | 11 |
| Tenn. | 500 | 5 | 10 | $23+$ | 77 | 12 | 100 | 16 | 18 | 25 | 91 | 82 |
| Texas | 1335 | 1 | 393 | 8 | 51 | 19 | 152 | 12 | 78 | 16 | 390 | 5 |
| Utah | 0 |  | 169 | 13 | 479 | 3 | 499 | 6 | 105 | 12 | 168 | 18 |
| Virginia | 133 | 20 | 137 | 15 | 57 | 16 | 0 |  | 98 | 14 | 122 | 21 |
| Wash. | 142 | 19 | 353 | $9+$ | 184 | ? | 47 | 20 | 225 | 8 | 137 | 20 |
| Wisconsin | 201 | 16 | 669 | 4 | 45 | 20 | 115 | 15 | 242 | 7 | 295 | 9 |

Table 2B. Drew-Karpf publications. Mathematics [b]

Four-year totals (celendar year $1960+1961+1962+1963$ ) etc.
Pubs.
No. of per
Profs. Prof. 70-71 (69-72) rank

| - | 60-63 | rank | 65-68 | rank | 69-72 | rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arizona | 8 | 32 | 18 | 32 | 34 | 33 |
| Cal-Berk. | 233 | 1 | 354 | 1 | 314 | 2 |
| includes | Radia | ion | borato |  |  |  |
| Cal-L.A. | 123 | 6 | 210 | 3 | 291 | 3 |
| Colorado | 20 | 23+ | 19 | $30+$ | 70 | $24+$ |
| Florida | 12 | $28+$ | 28 | 29 | 103 | 15 |
| Fla. St. | 31 | 17 | 81 | 11 | 142 | 11 |
| Georgia | 33 | 16 | 43 | 19 | 74 | 23 |
| Illinois | 128 | 5 | 202 | 4 | 278 | 4 |
| Indiana. | 63 | 10 | 40 | 21+ | 108 | 14 |
| Purdue | 66 | 9 | 171 | 7 | 198 | 6 |
| Iowa | 15 | 26 | 41 | 20 | 80 | 22 |
| Iowa St. | 45 | 13 | 48 | 16 | 83 | 20 |
| Kansas | 20 | $23+$ | 32 | $27+$ | 43 | 32 |
| La. St. | 26 | 19+ | 54 | 15 | 102 | 16 |
| Maryland | 50 | 11 | 103 | 9 | 98 | 17 |
| Mass. | 7 | 34 | 19 | $30+$ | 68 | 26+ |
| Michigan | 141 | 4 | 182 | 5 | 203 | 5 |
| Mich. St. | 49 | 12 | 79 | 12 | 171 | 8 |
| Minnesota | 142 | 3 | 180 | 6 | 180 | 7 |
| Missouri | 12 | $28+$ | 40 | 21+ | 97 | 18 |
| Nebraska | 10 | $30+$ | 13 | 33 | 44 | 31 |
| Rutgers | 40 | $14+$ | 65 | 14 | 165 | 9 |
| SUNY-Buff. | 2 | 35 | 32 | $27+$ | 70 | $24+$ |
| N. Car. | 73 | 8 | 102 | 10 | 129 | 12 |
| Ohio St. | 24 | 22 | 45 | 18 | 50 | 30 |
| Oklahoma | 7 | 33 | 6 | 35 | 21 | 34 |
| Okla. St, | 10 | $30+$ | 10 | 34 | 19 | 35 |
| Oregon | 40 | 14+ | 35 | 26 | 68 | $26+$ |
| Penn. St. | 25 | 21 | 76 | 13 | 92 | 19 |
| Tenn. | 28 | 18 | 36 | 25 | 62 | 28 |
| Texas | 13 | 27 | 38 | 24 | 82 | 21 |
| Uteh | 19 | 25 | 39 | 23 | 59 | 29 |
| Virginia | 26 | 19+ | 47 | 17 | 108 | 13 |
| Wash. | 119 | 7 | 159 | 8 | 148 | 10 |
| Wisconsin | 176 | 2 | 271 | 2 | 341 | 1 |


| 32 | 1.1 | 32 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 63 | 5.0 | 3 |
| 66 | 4.4 | 4 |
| 46 | 1.5 | 27 |
| 43 | 2.4 | 14 |
| 37 | 3.8 | 6 |
| 41 | 1.8 | 25 |
| 100 | 2.8 | 9 |
| 58 | 1.9 | 23 |
| 87 | 2.3 | 17 |
| 31 | 2.6 | 11 |
| 34 | 2.4 | 13 |
| 37 | 1.2 | 30 |
| 43 | 2.4 | 15 |
| 77 | 1.3 | 29 |
| 62 | 1.1 | 31 |
| 60 | 3.4 | 7 |
| 69 | 2.5 | 12 |
| 78 | 2.3 | 16 |
| 30 | 3.2 | 8 |
| 24 | 1.8 | 24 |
| 60 | 2.8 | 10 |
| 49 | 1.4 | 28 |
| 31 | 4.2 | 5 |
| 64 | 0.8 | 34 |
| 26 | 0.8 | 33 |
| 26 | 0.7 | 35 |
| 30 | 2.3 | 18 |
| 42 | 2.2 | 20 |
| 33 | 1.9 | 22 |
| 38 | 2.2 | 21 |
| 35 | 1.7 | 26 |
| 21 | 5.1 | 2 |
| 66 | 2.2 | 19 |
| 65 | 5.2 | 1 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Table 2B. (cont.) Drew-Karpf publications. Physics [c]

Four-year totals

|  | 60-63 | rank | 65-68 | rank | 69-72 | rank | No. of Profs. 70-71 | Pubs. per Prof. (69-72) | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arizona | 64 | 17 | 123 | 21 | 198 | 21 | 40 | 5.0 | 26 |
| Cal-Berk. | 918 | 1 | 1432 | 1 | 1533 | 1 | 45 |  |  |
| includes | Radia | ion | Laborato |  |  |  | + ? Redi | ation Lab | oratory |
| Cal-L.A. | 238 | 3 | 398 | 6 | 608 | 3 | 45 | 13.5** | 3 |
| Colorado * | 123 | 13 | 237 | 13 | 486 | 8 | 41 | 11.9 | 5 |
| Florida | 47 | 24 | 141 | 15 | 238 | 17 | 46 | 5.2 | 24 |
| Fla. St. | 61 | 18 | 129 | 17+ | 209 | 20 | 27 | 7.7 | 14 |
| Georgia | 4 | 35 | 31 | 35 | 61 | 33 | 25 | 2.4 | 33 |
| Illinois | 393 | 2 | 812 | 2 | 1242 | 2 | 65 | 19.1 | 2 |
| Indiana | 95 | 14 | 127 | 20 | 215 | 19 | 45 | 4.8 | 28 |
| Purdue | 145 | 11 | 294 | 9 | 416 | 10 | 77 | 5.4 | 22 |
| Iowa | 76 | 16 | 129 | 17+ | 156 | 26 | 22 | 7.1 | 16 |
| Iowa St. | 159 | 8 | 410 | 5 | 603 | 4 | 46 | 13.1 | 4 |
| Kansas | 48 | $22+$ | 81 | 25 | 116 | 30 | 24 | 4.8 | 27 |
| La. St. | 27 | 28 | 78 | 26 | 187 | 22 | 32 | 5.8 | 21 |
| Maryland | 197 | 7 | 433 | 4 | 560 | 6 | 66 | 8.5 | 9 |
| Mass. | 17 | 31 | 64 | 29+ | 175 | 24 | 44 | 4.0 | 30 |
| Michigan | 212 | 5 | 333 | 7 | 419 | 9 | 54 | 7.8 | 12 |
| Mich. St. | 51 | 21 | 134 | 16 | 309 | 13 | 50 | 6.2 | 20 |
| Minnesote | 227 | 4 | 329 | 8 | 352 | 12 | 43 | 8.2 | 10 |
| Missouri | 18 | 30 | . 64 | $29+$ | 142 | 28 | 18 | 7.9 | 11 |
| Nebreske | 19 | 29 | 51 | 31 | 98 | 32 | 21 | 4.7 | 29 |
| Rutgers | 53 | 20 | 128 | 19 | 248 | 16 | 34 | 7.3 | 15 |
| SUNY-Buff. | 7 | 34 | 70 | 28 | 106 | 31 | 30 | 3.5 | 32 |
| N. Car. | 43 | 25 | 112 | 22 | 144 | 27 | 27 | 5.3 | 23 |
| Ohio St. | 94 | 15 | 243 | 12 | 295 | 14 | 58 | 5.1 | 25 |
| Oklahoma | 40. | 26 | 38 | 33 | 40 | 34 | 18 | 2.2 | 34 |
| Okla.. St. | 14 | 33 | 37 | 34 | 37 | 35 | 28 | 1.3 | 35 |
| Oregon | 48 | $22+$ | 95 | 24 | 186 | 23 | 24 | 7.8 | 13 |
| Penn. St. | 124 | 12 | 250 | 11 | 271 | 15 | 41 | 6.6 | 18: |
| Tenn. | 16 | 32 | 48 | 32 | 133 | 29 | 34 | 3.9 | 31 |
| Texas | 153 | 9 | 218 | 14 | 563 | 5 | 49 | 11.5 | 6 |
| Utah | 57 | 19 | 77 | 27 | 163 | 24 | 25 | 6.5 | 19 |
| Virginia | 28 | 27 | 108 | 23 | 227 | 18 | 33 | 6.9 | 17 |
| Wash. | 146 | 10 | 271 | 10. | 416 | 11 | 41 | 10.1 | 8 |
| Wisconsin | 202 | 6 | 486 | 3 | 506 | 7 | 46 | 11.0 | 7 |

Table 2C. Prestige of Programs based on 1969 ACE ratings of "Quality of Graduate Faculty"
[d]
 Eng. Eng. Eng. Eng.

| Arizona | 3 | 24+ | 26 |  | $19+$ | $14+$ | 19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cal-Berk. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Cal-L.A. | $5+$ | 5 | $\theta$ | 21 | 12 | $4+$ | 6 |
| Colorado | $10+$ | $14+$ | 11 | $12+$ | 12 | $14+$ | 19 |
| Florida |  | $24+$ | 17+ | $12+$ | $19+$ | $10 \pm$ | 19 |
| Fla. St. |  | 24+ | $17+$ |  |  |  |  |
| Georgia |  | $24+$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Illinois | $10+$ | 4 | 2 | -4 | -2 | 2 | 4+ |
| Indiana | 10 | 10 | $17+$ |  |  |  |  |
| Purdue |  | 8 | 9 | 8 | - 3 | $4+$ | 4+ |
| Iowe |  | 24t | 26 |  | 12 | 20+ | 19 |
| Iowa St. |  | 24* | 11 | $12+$ | 12 | $14+$ | 12 |
| Kansas |  | 24+ | 26 | 21 |  | $20 \%$ |  |
| La. St. |  | 24+ | 26 | 124 |  |  |  |
| Maryland | 4 | 14+ | 5 | 12+ | $19+$ | 14t | 19 |
| Mass. |  | $24 t$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Michígan | 7 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 3 | $2+$ |
| Mich. St. |  | 14+ | 17+ | 21 | 12 | $10+$ | 12 |
| Minnesota |  | $0+$ | $7+$ | 2 | - 8 | $6+$ | $2+$ |
| Missouri |  |  |  | 21 | $19+$ | $20+$ |  |


| Nebraska |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rutgers |  | 14t | $17+$ |  | $19+$ | = | 19 |
| SUNY-Buff . |  | $24+$ | 26 | 21 | $19+$ | $20+$ | 19 |
| N. Car. |  | 14+ | 17+ |  |  |  |  |
| Ohio St. |  | 14+ | $57+$ | $12+$ | 12 | 8 | 12 |
| Oklahoma |  |  |  | 21 | $19+$ |  | 12 |
| Okla. St. |  |  |  | 21 | $19+$ | $20+$ | 12 |
| Oregon |  | 144 | $17+$ |  |  |  |  |
| Penn. St. |  | $14+$ | 17+ | $12+$ | 12 | $14+$ | 8 |
| Tenn. |  |  | 26 | 124 |  |  |  |
| Texas | $5+$ | 24t | 11 | 6 | 5 | 9 | 12 |
| Utàh |  | $24+$ | 26 | 21 |  | $20+$ |  |
| Virginia | $10+$ | 9 | 17+ | 21 |  |  |  |
| Wash: | 8 | $6+$ | 7+ | 7 | 6 | 14+ | 12 |
| Wisconsin | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 7 |

Table 2D. Members of advisory panels, research review committees, etc., for National Science Foundation and National Institutes of Health. [e]

|  | NSFP (1965-69) |  |  | NSF (1970-74) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | number | rank |  | number | rank |
| Arizona | 13 | 7 |  | 7 | 15 |
| Cel-Berk. | 23 | 3 |  | 19 | $2+$ |
| Cal-L.A. | 12 | $8+$ |  | 14 | $5+$ |
| Colorado | 8 | $11+$ |  | 12 | $7+$ |
| Florida | 2 | $24+$ |  | 8 | 12 |
| Fla. St. | 2 | 24 |  | 2 | 25 |
| Georgia | 2 | $24+$ |  | 5 | 17+ |
| Illinois | 25 | 2 |  | 11 | 9 |
| Indiane | 8 | 11+ |  | 2 | 25 |
| Purdue | 9 | 10 |  | 14 | $5+$ |
| Iowa | 2 | 24+ |  | 3 | 21 |
| Iowa St. |  | 24+ |  | 0 |  |
| Kansas | 1 | 29 |  | 3 | 21 |
| La. St. | 5 | 17 |  | 2 | 25 |
| Maryland | 12 | $8+$ |  | 10 | 10 |
| Mass. | 1 | 29 |  | 2 | 25 |
| Michigan | 16 | 6 |  | 12 | 7+ |
| Mich. St. | 5 | 17 |  | , | $28+$ |
| Minnesota | 17 | 5 |  | 2 | 25 |
| Missouri | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Nebraska | 0 | , |  | 0 | Sitacex |
| Rutgers | 0 |  |  | 1 | 289 |
| SUNY-Buff. | 1 | 29 |  | 3 | 21 |
| N. Car. | 6 | 14+ |  | 8 | 12 |
| Ohio St. | 2 | 24+ |  | 5 | 17+ |
| Oklahoma | 2 | 24+ |  | 0 |  |
| Okla, St. | 3 | $20+$ |  | 0 |  |
| Oregon | 5 | 17 | :- | 16 | 4 |
| Penn. St. | 7 | 13 | - | 7 | 15 |
| Tenn, | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Texas | 6 | 14* |  | 19. | $2+$ |
| Utah | 4 | 19 |  | 4 | 19 |
| Virginia | 0 |  |  | 8 | 12 |
| Wash. | 26 | 1 |  | 23 | 1 |
| Wisconsin | 19 | 4 |  | 7 | 15 |

## Notes for Table 2

[a] National Science Foundation, Grants and Awards for Fiscal Year 1970... 1974.
[b] Number of publications in 20 English-language mathematics journals having the highest "impact factor" as measured by citations, by authors affiliated with these institutions (not necessarily in the mathematics department); see David E. Drew and Ronald S. Karpf, Evaluating Science Departments: A New Index (Santa Monica, Calif.: Rand Corp., 1975), pp. 32-33. The journals are: Annals of Mathematics, Commications on Pure and Applied Mathematics, Indiana University Mathematics Journal, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, Pacific Journal of Mathematics, Michigan Mathematics Journal, American Journal of Mathematics, Archive for Rational Mechanies and Analysis, Duke Mathematical Journal, Annals of Mathematical Statistics, Technometrics, Journal of Research of the National Bureau of Standards (Series B), Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Illinois Journal of Mathematics, Mathematics of Computation, Biometrika, Journal of the American Statistical Association, and Applied Scientific Research. This list is given in David E. Drew, Science Development: An Evaluation Study (Washington: National Academy of Sciences, 1975).
[c] These figures were compiled by Drew and Karpf as indicated in the previous note. The list of jo: ; also given in Drew's 1975 report, is: Solid State Physics, Annuel Keview of Nuclear Science, Phygical Review Letters, Astrophysical : zurnal, Reviews of Modern Physics, Applied Physics Letters, Physical Review, Journal of Geophysical Research, Inorganic Chemistry, Annals of Physics, Journal of Chemical Physics, Journal of Marine Reses. h, Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, Journal of tie Atmospheric Sciences, Journal of Applied Physics, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics, Physics of Fluids, Spece Science Review, Proceedings of the Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers, Planetary and Space Science.

Notes for Table 2 (cont.)
[d] K. D. Roose and C. J. Andersen, A Rating of Graduate Programs (Washington, D.C.: American Council on Education, 1970).
[e] Compiled from annual reports of National Science Foundation;
NIH Roster of Public Advisory Groups; Health Resources Administration, Health Service Administration, Center for Disease Control, and Alcohol, Drug Abuse and Mental Health Administration Public Advisory Committees, Roster of Members. The NSF panels were counted for each year, 1965 through 1974. Since members of panels for NIH generally served for up to 4 years, a 5 -year estimate was made by counting those for 1967 and 1969 and multiplying by 2 , then adding the ones for 1971. The estimate for the later period was made by counting those for 1973 and 1975, multiplying by two, and adding those for 1971. Only those comittees involved in awarding research grants were included.

Table 3A. NSF Grants ( $\$ 1000$ ) [a] (notes are on page 28)

|  | Biology, Ecology 70-71 rark 73-74 rank |  |  |  | Chemistry <br> 70-71 rank 73-74 rank |  |  |  | Polar/Oceanic Activities 70-71 rank 73-74 rank |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arizona | 523 | 18 | 514 | 20 | 417 | 14 | 310 | 25 | 0 |  | 55 | 17 |
| Cal-Berk. | 2169 | 1 | 5634 | 1 | 723 | 3 | 1924 | 2 | 146 | 12 | 329 | 8 |
| Cal=L.A. | 1031 | 12 | 1634 | 5 | 500 | 13 | 1239 | 4 | 237 | 9 | 422 | 7 |
| Colorado | 691 | 15 | 1140 | 12 | 375 | 19 | 431 | 20 | 103 | 17 | 214 | 12 |
| Florida | 390 | 25 | 365 | 26 | 65 | 6 | 465 | 14 | 82 | 19 | 52 | 18 |
| Fla. St. | 400 | 24 | 399 | 24 | 329 | 21 | 192 | 31 | $538{ }^{\circ}$ | 4 | 535 | 4 |
| Georgia | 845 | 13 | 1112 | 13 | 290 | 22 | 438 | 19 | 459 | 5 | 542 | 3 |
| Illinois | 1874 | 5 | 1917 | 4 | 1016 | 2 | 1743 | 3 | 417 | 6 | 68 | 16 |
| Indiana | 1170 | 8 | 1221. | 11 | 684 | 5 | 1141 | 5 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Purdue | 1064 | 11 | 1388 | 8 | 698 | 4 | 951 | 8 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Iowa | 327 | 27 | 572 | 16 | 134 | 26 | 116 | 33 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Iowa St. | 63 | 35 | 255 | 30 | 198 | 25 | 439 | 18 | 112 | $13+$ | 0 |  |
| Kansas | 497 | 19 | 531 | 18 | 282 | 23 | 284 | 27 | 106 | 16 | 0 |  |
| La. St. | 107 | 33 | 288 | 29 | 136 | 27 | 260 | 28 | 91 | 18 | 130 | 14 |
| Maryland | 455 | 21 | 445 | 22 | 116 | 31 | 223 | 29 | 157 | 11 | 466 | 6 |
| Mass. | 434 | 22 | 615 | 15 | 404 | 15 | 669 | 13 | 112 | 13+ | 37 | 21 |
| Michigan | 1382 | 6 | 1629 | 6 | 623 | 7 | 769 | 11 | 1295 | 2 | 288 | 10 |
| Mich. St. | 2052 | 3 | 1570 | 7 | 687 | 8 | 456 | 15 | 28 | 22 | 51 | 19 |
| Minnesota | 1081 | 9 | 1022 | 14 | 5.46 | 11 | 697 | 12 | 225 | 10 | 175 | 13 |
| Missouri | 263 | 29 | 308 | 28 | 39 | 35 | 72 | 34 | 74 | 20 | 0 |  |
| Nebraska | 198 | 30 | 123 | 35 | 50 | 33 | 39 | 35 | 0 |  | 836 | 2 |
| Rutgers | 491 | 20 | 448 | 21 | 137 | 26 | 327 | 23 | 33 | 21 | 0 |  |
| SUNY - Buff. | 154 | 31 | 180 | 32 | 385 | 18 | 306 | 26 | 11 | 25 | 0 |  |
| N. Car. | 584 | 17 | 310 | 27 | 276 | 24 | 936 | $?$ | 398 | $?$ | 121 | 15 |
| Ohio St. | 403 | 23 | 382 | 25 | 562 | 9 | 1041 | 6 | 373 | 8 | 227 | 11 |
| Oklahoma | 148 | 32 | 154 | 33 | 104 | 32 | 197 | 30 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Okla. St. | 326 | 28 | 214 | 31 | 49 | 34 | 134 | 32 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Oregon | 1072 | 10 | 1377 | $9+$ | 361 | 20 | 366 | 22 | 111 | 15 | 0 |  |
| Penn. St. | 384 | 26 | 424 | 23 | 554 | 10 | 919 | 9 | 23 | 24 | 48 | 20 |
| Tenn. | 105 | 34 | 134 | 34 | 131 | 29 | 320 | 24 | 10 | 26 | 0 |  |
| Texas | 1215 | 7 | 1377 | 9+ | 391 | 17 | 446 | 17 | 24 | 23 | 295 | 9 |
| Utah | 591 | 16 | 558 | 17 | 398 | 16 | 896 | 10 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Virginia | 761 | 14 | 525 | 19 | 118 | 30 | 401 | 21 | 0 |  | 30 | 22 |
| Wash. | 1939 | 4 | 2997 | 3 | 505 | 12 | 449 | 16 | 4292 | 1 | 11825 | 1 |
| Wisconsin | 2102 | 2 | 3116 | 2 | 1427 | 1 | 1970 | 1 | 1063 | 3 | 481 | 5 |

Table 3B. Drew-Karpf publications. Chemistry [b]

|  | 60-63 | rank | 65-68 | rank | 69-72 | rank | No. of Profs. 70-71 | Pubs. <br> per <br> Prof. <br> (69-72) | rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arizona | 46 | 29 | 141 | 20 | 170 | 27 | 30 | 5.7 | 26 |
| Cal-Berk. | 380 | 1 | 570 | 1 | 788 | 1 | 48 |  |  |
| includes | Radia | ion | Laborato |  |  |  | + ? Redi | ation Lab | ratory |
| Cal-L.A. | 197 | 8 | 242 | 9 | 352 | 8 | 39 | 9.0 | 11 |
| Colorado | 80 | $19+$ | 138 | 21 | 207 | 21 | 31 | 6.7 | 21 |
| Florida | 110 | 14 | 199 | 11 | 318 | 10 | 47 | 6.8 | 20 |
| Fla. St. | 80 | $19+$ | 158 | 16 | 213 | 19 | 33 | 6.5 | 22 |
| Georgia | 24 | 35 | 64 | 33 | 200 | 22 | 31 | 6.5 | 23 |
| Illinois | 348 | 3 | 506 | 2 | 733 | 2 | 60 | 12.2 | 5 |
| Indiana | 82 | 18 | 156 | 17 | 262 | 15 | 46 | 5.7 | 25 |
| Purdue | 288 | 4 | 268 | 7 | 427 | 6 | 83 | 5.1 | 27 |
| Iowa | 61 | 26 | 103 | 26 | 103 | 33 | 23 | 4.5 | 29 |
| Iowa St. | 276 | 5 | 429 | 4 | 467 | 5 | 31 | 15.1 | 2 |
| Kansas | 120 | 13 | 155 | 18 | 142 | 29 | 24 | 5.9 | 24 |
| La. St. | 85 | 17 | 125 | 23 | 209 | 20 | 47 | 4.4 | 30 |
| Maryland | 75 | 21 | 131 | 22 | 164 | 28 | $4 ?$ | 3.4 | 32 |
| Mass. | 28 | $33+$ | 72 | 31 | 252 | 16 | 37 | 6.8 | 19 |
| Michigan | 206 | 7 | 190 | 12 | 308 | 11 | 39 | 7.9 | 14 |
| Mich. St. | 100 | 15 | 175 | 13 | 295 | 12 | 32 | 9.2 | 9 |
| Minnesota | 240 | 6 | 286 | 6 | 270 | 13 | 39 | 6.9 | 16 |
| Missouri | 28 | $33+$ | 99 | 28 | 190 | 24 | 21 | 9.0 | 10 |
| Nebraska | 71 | 23 | 52 | 34 | 88 | 34 | 27 | 3.3 | 34 |
| Rutgers | 72 | 22 | 117 | 25 | 184 | 25 | 27 | 6.8 | 18 |
| SUNY-Buff. | 59 | 27 | 145 | 19 | 131 | 30 | 30 | 4.4 | 31 |
| N. Car. | 86 | 16 | 168 | 14 | 214 | 18 | 30. | 7.1 | 15 |
| Ohio St. | 178 | 9 | 360 | 5 | 479 | 4 | 40 | 12.0 | 6 |
| Oklahoma | 40 | 31 | 38 | 35 | 61 | 35 | 24 | 2.5 | 35 |
| Okla. St. | 30 | 32 | 78 | 29 | 119 | 31 | 36 | 3.3 | 33 |
| Oregon | 45 | 30 | 102 | 27 | 193 | 23 | 22 | 8.8 | 12 |
| Penn. St. | 153 | 11 | 220 | 10 | 322 | 9 | 37 | 8.7 | 13 |
| Tenn. | 65 | 25 | 74 | 30 | 110 | 32 | 23 | 4.8 | 28 |
| Texas | 158 | 10 | 265 | 8 | 390 | 7 | 31 | 12.6 | 4 |
| Utah | 70 | 24 | 122 | 24 | 267 | 14 | 26 | 10.3 | 7 |
| Virginia | 57 | 28 | 69 | 32 | 178 | 26 | 19 | 9.4 | 8 |
| Wash. | 124 | 12 | 162 | 15 | 221 | 17 | 32 | 6.9 | 17 |
| Wisconsin | 357 | 2 | 552 | 3 | 671 | 3 | 45 | 14.9 | 3 |
|  |  |  | - | * | 30 |  |  |  |  |

Table 3C. Prestige of Frograms beised on 1969 ACE ratings of "Quality of Graduate Faculty" [c] Bio- Bot. Chem. Dev. Ento- Micro- Mol. Pop. Zool. chem.

| Arizona | 23 |  | 23 |  | 17+ |  |  | 22 | 31 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cal-Berk. | 1 | 1 | 1 | $1+$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | $2+$ | 1 |
| Cal-L.A. | 3 | 9 | 3 | 15+ |  | 8 | 4 | 8 | 5 |
| Colorado | $2 \overline{3}$ | 28 | $16+$ | $7+$ |  | 17 | 16 | 22 | $21+$ |
| Florida | 23 | 28 | 18 | $23+$ | 11+ | $25+$ | $23+$ | 22 | 21 |
| $\overline{F l a}$. St. | $15+$ | 28 | $13+$ | $15+$ |  |  | 16 |  | $1+$ |
| Georgia |  | 19 | 23 |  |  | $25+$ |  | 2+ | $21+$ |
| Illinois | 5 | $7+$ | 2 | $9+$ | 2 | 2 | 4 | $14+$ | $7+$ |
| Indiana | 10 | 5 | 10 | 4 | 17+ | 8 | 9 | 11 | $5+$ |
| Purdue | 7 | 10 | $5+$ | 6 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 28 | 9 |
| Iowa | $15+$ | 19 | 23 | $15+$ |  | 17 | 16 | 22 | 13 |
| Iowe St. | $15+$ | 13+ | $5+$ | $15+$ | 8 | 17 | $23+$ | 14+ | $21+$ |
| Kansas | 23 | 19 | 23 | $83+$ | 5 | 17 | $23+$ | 14+ | 13 |
| La. St. |  |  | 23 |  | 11+ | $25+$ |  |  | $31+$ |
| Maryland |  | 19 | 30 |  | 17+ | $25+$ |  |  | $31+$ |
| Mass. |  | 11+ | 23 | $23+$ | $17+$ | 17 | $23+$ | 22 | $2.1+$ |
| Michigan | 6 | 2 | 10 | $9+$ | 11+ | 17 | 8 | 1 | $3+$ |
| Mich. St. | $8+$ | 6 | $13+$ | 15+ | 8 | 11 | 11 | 7 | 10 |
| Minnesota | $8+$ | $13+$ | 10 | $15+$ | 5 | 6 | 11 | 11 | 13 |
| Missouri |  | 28* | . | $23+$ | 17+ |  |  | 22 | $21+$ |
| Nebraska |  | 28 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  | $31+$ |
| Rutgers | $15+$ | 19 | 30 | $23+$ | 11+ | 8 | 16 | 11 | 21+ |
| SUNY-Buff. | $15+$ |  | 23 | 15+ |  | 17 | 16 |  | $21+$ |
| N. Car. | $15+$ | $7+$ | 23 | $15+$ |  | 11 | 16 | , | 13 |
| Ohio St. | 23 | 19 | $7+$ | $23+$ | 8 | $25+$ | $23+$ | 22 | 21+ |
| Oklahoma |  | 28 |  |  |  | $25+$ |  | 22 | $31+$ |
| Okla. St. | 23 | 28 |  |  | 17+ |  |  |  | 31+ |
| Oregon | 11 | 19 | $16+$ | $7+$ |  | 17 | 7 | 14+ | 13 |
| Penn. St. | 23 | 19 | $13+$ | $23+$ | 17+ | 17 | 16 | 22 | 21+ |
| Tenn. |  | 19 | 30 |  |  |  | $23+$ |  | $31+$ |
| Texas | $15+$ | $3+$ | $7+$ | 5 |  | 11 | 11 | $5+$ | $7+$ |
| Utah | $15+$ | 28 | 23 | $23+$ | $17+$ | $25+$ | $23+$ | 22 | $31+$ |
| Virginia |  |  | 30 | 11 |  | $25+$ | $23+$ |  | $21+$ |
| Wash. | 4 | 11+ | $13+$ | 3 |  | 4 | 4 | $5+$ | $3+$ |
| Wisconsin | 2 | $3+$ | 4 | ? + | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 2 |

Table 30. Members of Advisory Panels, Research Review Committees, etc., for National Science Foundation and National Institutes of Health [d]

|  | NSF (1965-69) |  |  | NSF (1970-74) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \& NIH (106 | 67-71) |  | \& NIH | 971-75 |
|  | number | rank |  | number | rank |
| Arizona | 5 | $26+$ |  | 14 | 14+ |
| Cal-Berk. | 54 | 1 |  | 30 | 2 |
| Cal-L.A. | 17 | 11 |  | 18 | 9 |
| Colorado | 15 | 13 |  | 22 | 5 |
| Florida | 5 | $26+$ |  | 7 | $24+$ |
| Fla. St. | 14 | 14 |  | 7 | $24+$ |
| Georgia | 23 | 10 |  | 13 | 16 |
| Illinois | 44 | 2 | / | 24 | 4 |
| Indiana | 37 | 3 |  | 11 | 18 |
| Purdue | 28 | $8+$ |  | 27 | 3 |
| Iowa | 8 | 22 |  | 11 | 18. |
| Iowa St. | 11 | 18 |  | 5 | - $29+$ |
| Kensas | 13 | 15 |  | 8 | 23 |
| La. St | 0 |  |  | 9 | 21+ |
| Maryland | 9 | $20+$ |  | 6 | 27 |
| Mass. | 2 | 31 |  | 10 | 20 |
| Michigan | 30 | $5+$ |  | 18 | 0 |
| Mich. St. | 28 | $8+$ |  | 20 | 6 |
| Minnesata | 11 | 18 |  | 19 | 7 |
| Missouri | 2 | 31 |  | 0 |  |
| Nebraska | 2 | 31 |  | 2 | 31 |
| Rutgers | 11 | 18 |  | 11 | 18 |
| SUNY-Buff. | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| N. Car. | 9 | $20+$ |  | 6 | 27 |
| Ohio St. | 12 | 16 |  | 6 | 27 |
| Oklahoma | 3 | -28+ |  | 3 | 29+ |
| Okla. St. | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Oregon | 30 | $5+$ |  | 16 | 11+ |
| Penn. St, | 7 | $23+$ | .as | 9 | 21+ |
| Tenn. | 3 | $28+$ |  | 0 |  |
| Texas | 16 | 12 |  | 16 | 11+ |
| Utah | 7 | $23+$ |  | 15 | 13 |
| Virginia | 6 | 25 |  | 14 | 14+ |
| Wash. | 29 | 7 |  | 18 | 9 |
| Wisconsin | 36 | 4 |  | 37 | 1 |

[a] Same as in note [a] to Table 2.
[b] Compiled as indicated in note [b] to Table 2. The fournals are: Chemical Review, Journal of the American Chemical Society, Annual Review of Physical Chemistry, Inorganic Chemistry, Journal of Chemical Physics, Journal of Organic Chemistry, Analytical Biochemistry, Quarterly Reviews, Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, Analytical Chemistry, Chemical Engineering Journal (American Institute of Chemical Engineers), Journal of Appiied Folymer Science, Photochemistry and Photobiology, Cereal Chemistry, Industrial Engineering Chemistry, Advances in Chemistry Series, Journal of Polymer Science, Journal of the Physics and Chemistry of Solids, Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer.
[c] See note [d] to Table 2.
[d] See note [e] to Table 2 .

Table 4A. NSF Grants (\$1000) [a] (notes are on page 36)

| Arizona | two-year totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Anthropology |  |  |  | Economics |  |  |  | Geography |  |  |  |
|  | 70-71 rank 73-74 rank |  |  |  | 70-71 rank 73-74 rank |  |  |  | 70-71 rank 73-74 rank |  |  |  |
|  | 38 | 19 | 402 | 3 | 0 |  | 62 | 12 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Cal-Berk. | 171 | 3 | 478 | 2 | 614 | 1 | 1249 | 1 | 100 | 2 | 112 | 2 |
| Cal-L.A. | 146 | 6 | 209 | 4 | 111 | 8 | 31 | 15 | 0 |  | 5 | $6+$ |
| Colorado | 45 | 15 | 3 | 27 | 1 | 21 | 0 |  | 96 | 3 | 0 |  |
| Florida | 17 | 22 | 39 | 15 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 24 | 6 | 4 | 8 |
| Fla. St. | ō |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Georgia | 42 | 17 | 0 |  | 80 | 12 | 0 |  | 3 | 9 | 0 |  |
| Illinois | 106 | 9 | 196 | 5 | 59 | 15 | 208 | 6 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Indiana | 43 | 16 | 0 |  | 51 | 16 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Purdue | 0 |  | 0 |  | 139 | 6 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Iowa | 50 | 13 | 0 |  | 42 | 17 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Iowa St. | 0 |  | 38 | 16 | 66 | 14 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Kansas | 220 | 2 | 17 | 20 | 37 | 19 | 0 |  | 201 | 1 | 73 | 4 |
| La. St. | 31 | 20 | 6 | $24+$ | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Maryland | 0 |  | 37 | 17 | 100 | 9 | 98 | 9 | 0 |  | 0 * |  |
| Mass. | 0 |  | 29 | 18 | 41 | 18 | 128 | 8 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Michitigan | 313 | 1 | 484 | 1 | 384 | 2 | 650 | 3 | 0 |  | 76 | 3 |
| Mich. St. | 2 | 24 | 93 | 10 | 94 | 10 | 2 | 18 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Minnesota | 6 | 23 | 0 |  | 183 | 5 | 182 | 7 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Missouri | 113 | 8 | 168 | 6 | 74 | 13 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Nebraska | 0 |  | 9 | 23 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 5 | $6+$ |
| Rutgers | 0 |  | 6 | $24+$ | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| SUNY-Buff. | 0 |  | 101 | 8 | 89 | 11 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| N. Car. | 89 | 10 | 13 | 21+ | 232 | 4 | 7 | 17 | 0 |  | 1 | 9 |
| Ohio St. | 18 | 21 | 26 | 19 | 0 |  | 45 | 14 | 41 | 5 | 292 | 1 |
| Oklahoma | 41 | 18 | 66 | 13 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Okla. St. | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Oregon | 150 | 5 | 88 | 12 | 0 |  | 794 | 2 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Penn. St. | 117 | 7 | 98 | 9 | 112 | 7 | 26 | 16 | 23 | 7 | 12 | 5 |
| Tenn, | 0 |  | O |  | 34 | 20 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Texas | 47 | 14 | 4 | 26 | 0 |  | 55 | 13 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Utah | 87 | 11 | 119 | 7 | 0 |  | 94 | 11 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Virginia | 0 |  | 13 | 21+ | 0 |  | 97 | 10 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Wesh. | 164 | 4 | 89 | 11 | 0 |  | 222 | 5 | 75 | 4 | 0 |  |
| Wisconsin | 73 | 12 | 47 | 14 | 347 | 3 | 568 | 4 | 11. | 8 | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4A. NSF Grants (\$1000) [a], (cont.)

|  | History and Philosophy of Science |  |  |  | Linguistics |  |  |  | Political Science |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 70-71 | ank | 73-74 | rank | 70-71 | rank | 73-74 | rank | 70-71 | rank | 73-74 | rank |
| Arizona | 0 |  | 24 | 8 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 64 | 13 |
| Cal-Berk. | 22 | $6+$ | 399 | 1 | 53 | 5 | 285 | 1 | 0 |  | 59 | 14 |
| Cal-L.A. | 44 | 4 | 18 | $12+$ | 311 | 1 | 215 | 2 | 0 |  | 82 | 11 |
| Colorado | 0 |  | 17 | 14+ | 5 | 10 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 55 | 15 |
| Florida | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 16 | $10+$ | 80 | 12 |
| Fla. St. |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | $=$ | 15 | 12 | 0 |  |
| Georgia | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 54 | 16 |
| Illinois | 22 | 6+ | 59 | 5 | 0 |  | 1 |  | 8 | 13 | 0 |  |
| Indiana | 62 | '2 | 70 | $3+$ | 0 |  | 0 |  | 241 | 2 | 89 | 10 |
| Purdue | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Iowa | 0 |  | 11 | 16 | 27 | 7 | 0 |  | 59 | 7 | 0 |  |
| Iowe St. | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Kansas | 0 |  | 0 |  | 12 | 9 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| La. St. | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Maryland | 0 |  | 70 | $3+$ | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 1 | 21 |
| Mass. | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 94 | 4 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Michigan | 0 |  | 20 | $10+$ | 59 | 4 | 0 |  | 861 | 1 | 499 | 1 |
| Mich. St. | 34 | 5 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 7 | 14 | 0 |  |
| Minnesota | 5 | 9 | 103 | 2 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 119 | 4 | 45 | 17 |
| Missouri | 0 |  | 0 |  | 33 | 6 | 31 | 6 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Nebraska | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Rutgers | 0 |  | 22 | 9 | 0 |  | - 0 |  | 0 |  | 157 | 6 |
| SUNY-Buff. | 0 |  | 1 | 17 | 23 | 8 | 0 |  | 100 | 5 | 223 | 4 |
| N . Car. | 0 |  | 20 | 10+ | 0 |  | 41 | 5 | 57 | 8 | 177 | 5 |
| Ohio St. | 49 | 3 | 36 | 7 | 0 |  | 26 | 7 | 92 | 6 | 120 | 7 |
| Oklahoma | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 4 | 19+ |
| Okle. St. | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 387 | 8 |
| Oregon | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 2 | 15 | 0 |  |
| Penn. St, | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Tenn. | 0 |  | 17 | 14+ | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 289 | 3 |
| Texas | 0 |  | 18 | 12+ | 130 | 3 | 136 | 3 | 16 | $10+$ | 4 | $19+$ |
| Utah | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Virginia | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 90 | 9 |
| Wash. | 17 | 8 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 151 | 3 | 12 | 18 |
| Wisconsin | 69 | 1 | 40 | 6 | . 108 | 2 | 0 | * | 34 | 9 | 115 | 8 |

Table 4A. ISF Grants ( $\$ 1000$ ) [a] (cont.)

> Sociology and Social Psychology

70-71 rank 73-74 rank

| Arizona | 0 |  | 64 | 21 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Cal-Berk. | 3 | 22 | 824 | 3 |
| Cal-L.A. | 214 | 3 | 248 | $6+$ |
| Colorado | 77 | $12+$ | 0 |  |
| Florida | 6 | 21 | 80 | 17 |
| Fla. St. | $32 \ldots$ | 18 | 67 | $19+$ |
| Georgia | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Illinois | 105 | $7+$ | 331 | 4 |
| Indiana | 31 | 19 | 127 | 12 |
| Purdue | 139 | 5 | 67 | $19+$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Iowa | 2 | 23 | 3 | 26 |
| Iowa St. | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Kansas | 52 | 16 | 45 | 24 |
| La. St. | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Maryland | 0 |  | 140 | 11 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Mass. | 0 |  | 213 | 10 |
| Michigan | 1427 | 1 | 1623 | 1 |
| Mich. St. | 154 | 4 | 0 |  |
| Minnesota | 91 | 10 | 85 | 15 |
| Missouri | 67 | 15 | 51 | 23 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Nebraska. | 8 | 20 | 0 |  |
| Rutgers | 47 | 17 | 110 | 13 |
| SuNY-Buff. | 111 | 6 | 82 | 16 |
| M. Car. | 77 | $12+$ | 247 | 8 |
| Ohio St. | 101 | 9 | 253 | 5 |
| Oklahoma | 78 | 11 | 0 |  |
| Okla. St. | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Oregon | 0 |  | 13 | 25 |
| Penn. St. | 0 |  | 58 | 22 |
| Tenn. | 0 |  | 70 | 18 |
| Texas | 105 | $7+$ | 245 | 9 |
| Utah | 1 | 24 | 0 |  |
| Virginia | 0 |  | 90 | 14 |
| Wash. | 70 | 14 | 248 | $6+$ |
| Wisconsin | 354 | 2 | 1249 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4B. Fellowships and Grants, awarded by National Endowment for the Humanities, American Council of Learned Societies, and Social Science Research Council. [b]

|  | $1965-1969$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Behavioral | Arts and |
| and Social |  |
| Sciences |  | Humanities | Total [c] |
| :--- |


| Arizona | 2 | 23 | 2 | 32 | 8 | 24 | 3 | 17 | 5 | $30+$ | 9 | 27 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cal-Berk. | 8 | $7+$ | 47 | 1 | 55 | 1 | 4 | $13+$ | 66 | 1+ | 71 | 3 |
| Cal-L.A. | 15 | 1 | 24 | 3 | 39 | 3 | 8 | $3+$ | 57 | 3 | 65 | 4 |
| Colorado | 4 | $13+$ | 6 | 21+ | 10 | $18+$ | 0 |  | 10 | 22 | 10 | $24+$ |
| Florida | 3 | 18 | 6 | $21+$ | 9 | 21 | 2 | $23+$ | 9 | $23+$ | 11 | 23 |
| Fla. St. | 3 | 18 | 5 | 25 | 8 | 24 | 2 | $23+$ | 7 | 27 | 9 | 27 |
| Georgia | 1 | 26+ | 2 | 32 | 3 | $31+$ | 2 | $23+$ | 5 | 30+ | 7 | $30+$ |
| Illinois | 5 | $10+$ | 12 | 9 | 17 | 9+ | 7 | 6 | 23 | 22+ | 31 | 10 |
| Indiana | 9 | 6 | 17 | 5 | 27 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 46 | 5 | 56 | 5 |
| Purdue | 0 |  | 10 | 16 | 10 | 18+ | 2 | $23+$ | 6 | 29 | 8 | 29 |
| Iowa | 5 | $10+$ | 11 | 12 | 16 | 11+ | 4 | $13+$ | 19 | 17+ | 23 | 14 |
| Iowe St. | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 4 | $13+$ | 2 | 34 | 6 | 32 |
| Kansas | 3 | 18 | 10 | 16 | 13 | 16 | 8 | $3+$ | 25 | $9+$ | 34 | 9 |
| La. St. | 0 |  | 2 | 32 | 2 | 33 | 0 |  | 4 | 32 | 4 | 33 |
| Maryland | 0 |  | 11 | 12 | 11 | 17 | 3 | 17 | 19 | 17+ | 22 | 16 |
| Mass. | 3 | 18 | 6 | 21+ | 9 | 21 | 5 | 10 | 23 | 12+ | 28 | 13 |
| Mi chigan | 11 | $3+$ | 18 | 4 | 31 | 4 | 26 | 1 | 66 | $1+$ | 93 | 1 |
| Mich. St. | 8 | $7+$ | 8 | 18 | 16 | 11+ | 2 | $23+$ | 8 | 25 | 10 | $24+$ |
| Minnesota | 10 | 5 | 13 | 8 | 23 | $6+$ | 7 | 6 | 27 | 8 | 35 | 8 |
| Missouri | 1 | $26+$ | 7 | 19 | 8 | 24 | 1 | $29+$ | 19 | 17+ | 20 | 19 |
| Nebraska | 1 | $26+$ | 5 | 25 | 6 | $28+$ | 0 |  | 7 | 27 | 7 | $30+$ |
| Rutgers | 3 | 18 | 11 | 18 | 14 | 14 | 3 | 17 | 14 | 20 | 17 | 20 |
| SUNY-Buff. | 5 | $10+$ | 4 | 28 | 9 | 21 | 2 | $23+$ | 19 | 174 | 21 | 18 |
| N. Car. | 4 | $13+$ | 10 | 16 | 14 | 14 | 2 | $23+$ | 20 | 15 | 22 | 16 |
| Ohio St. | 3 | 18 | 11 | 12 | 14 | 14 | 4 | $13+$ | 25 | 9+ | 30 | $11+$ |
| Oklahoma | 0 |  | 4 | 28 | 4 | 30. | 2 | - $23+$ | 13 | 21 | 15 | 21 |
| Okla. St. | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 1 | 35 | 1 | 35 |
| Oregon | 2 | 23 | 4 | 28 | 6 | $28+$ | 1 | 29+ | 21 | 14 | 22 | 16 |
| Penn. St. | 2 | 23 | 5 | 25 | 7 | $26+$ | , | 10 | 9 | $23+$ | 14 | 22 |
| Tenn. | 1 | 26+ | 6 | $21+$ | 7 | $26+$ | 2 | $23+$ | 7 | 27 | 9 | 27. |
| Texas | 5 | $10+$ | 14 | $6+$ | 19 | 8 | 6 | 8 | 24 | 11 | 30 | $11+$ |
| Utah | 0 |  | 3 | 30 | 3 | $31+$ | 0 |  | 3 | 33 | 3. | 34 |
| Virginia | 3 | 18 | 14 | $6+$ | 17 | $9+$ | 2 | $23+$ | 39 | 6 | 41. | 6 |
| Wash. | 11 | $3+$ | 11 | 12 | 23 | $6+$ | 5 | 10 | 33 | 7 | 39 | 7 |
| Wisconsin | 1112 | 2 | 291212 | 2 | 42 | 2 | 18 | 2 | 54 | 4 | 73 | 2 |

Table 4C. Prestige of Programs based on 1969 ACE ratings of
"Quality of Graduate. Faculty" - Behavioral \& Social Sciences [d]
Anthro- Economics Geography Political Psychology Sociology
pology
Science

| Arizona | 5 |  |  |  | 28 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cal-Berk. | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Cal-I.A. | 3 | 5 | $8+$ | $5+$ | 6 | 5 |
| Colorado | 11 |  |  |  | $8+$ | 17 |
| Florida |  |  | 18 | $17+$ | 20 |  |
| Fla. St. |  |  |  |  | 20 | 17 |
| Georgia |  |  | $13+$ |  | 28 |  |
| Illinois | 4 | 9 | $13+$ |  | 3 | 11 \% |
| Indiena | 11 | 17 | 18 | 7 | $8+$ | 10 |
| Purdue |  | 9 |  |  | 20 | 17 |
| Iowa |  | 17 | 10 | $8+$ | $11+$ | 17 |
| Iowe St. |  | 9 |  |  | 28 |  |
| Kanses |  | 17 | $8+$ | 17+ | 20 |  |
| Le. St. |  |  | $13+$ |  |  |  |
| Maryland |  | 17 | 18 |  | 28 |  |
| Mass. |  |  |  | $17+$ | 20 | 17 |
| Michigan | 2 | $2+$ | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Mich. St. | 11 | 9 | $13+$ | 12 | $11+$ | $8+$ |
| Minnesota | 11 | $2+$ | $2+$ | $5+$ | $4+$ | 7 |
| Missouri |  |  |  | 17+ | 28 | 17 |
| Nebraska |  |  |  |  | 28 |  |
| Rutgers |  |  |  | $17+$ | 20 | - |
| SUNY-Buff. | 15 | 17 |  |  | 20 | 17 |
| N. Car. | 11 | 9 |  | 4 | $13+$ | 4 |
| Ohio St. |  | 17 | 6 | 12 | 16 | 17 |
| Oklahome |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Okla. St. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oregon | 11 | 17 | $13+$ | $8+$ | $13+$ | $11+$ |
| Penn. St. |  | 17 | 7 | 17+ | 10 | 17 |
| Tenn. |  |  |  | . | 28 |  |
| Texas | 11 | 17 | $13+$ | 12 | 7 | $8+$ |
| Utah |  |  |  |  | 28 |  |
| Virginia |  | 9 |  | 12 | 28 |  |
| Wash. | 7 | 9 | 5 | 12 | 15 | 6 |
| Wisconsin | 6 | 4 | $2+$ | 3 | $4+$ | 3 |

Iable $4 C$（cont．）．Arts and Hunanities

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{g}{6} \\ & \text { H } \\ & \text { H } \\ & \text { 思 } \end{aligned}$ |  | 震 <br> 4 <br> 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 碓 － 馬 \％ 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Arizona |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cal－Berk． | 1 | 1 | $1+$ | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Cal－L．A． | $8+$ | 6 | $7+$ | 9 | $1+$ | 4 | 3 |  | 4 | 6 |
| Colorado |  | $23+$ | 16 | 16 |  | 14 | 16 |  | 22 | $16+$ |
| Florida |  | $23+$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22 |  |

Fla．St． 14

| Georgia． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Illinois | $8+$ | 6 | $5+$ | $6+$ | 6 | $2+$ | 6 | 6 | $7+$ | 4 |
| Indiana | $8+$ | 6 | 3 | $2+$ | 6 | 5 | $10+$ | 2 | 5 | 7 |
| Purdue |  | $23+$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iowa | 12＋ | 11 | 16 |  |  | 10 | 10＋ |  | 14 | $9+$ |
| Iowa St． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kansas |  | $16+$ | $10+$ | 16 |  | 14 |  |  | 14 | 9＋ |
| La．St． |  | $23+$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22 |  |
| Maryland |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22 | $16+$ |
| Mass． |  | 23. |  | 9＋ |  |  |  |  | 22 |  |
| Michigan | $2+$ | 9 | 4 | 8 | 4 | $2+$ | 1 | 3 | 3 |  |
| Mich．St． |  | $16+$ | 16 | 16 |  | 14 | $10+$ |  | 14 | $16+$ |
| Minnesota | $8+$ | 13 | 16 | 12 |  | 14 | 7 |  | 9 | 16＋ |
| Missouri |  | $23+$ | 16 |  |  |  |  |  | 22 | $16+$ |
| Nebraska |  | $23+$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22 |  |
| Rutgers |  | $16+$ | 16 | 16 |  |  |  |  | 14 | 16＋ |
| SUNY－Buff． | $8+$ | 10 |  | 12 | 10 |  | 10＋ |  | 22 |  |
| N．Car． | $2+$ | 6 | $5+$ | 12 |  | 6 | $10+$ |  | 6 | 9＋ |
| Ohio St． | 12＋ | $16+$ | $10+$ | －5 | 6 | 10 | 16 |  | 14 | $16+$ |
| Oklahoma |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22 |  |
| Okia．St． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ． |  |  |
| Oregon |  | $16+$ | 16 ＇ |  |  |  | 16 |  | 22 | $16+$ |
| Penn．St． |  | $16+$ | 16 |  |  |  | 16 |  | 22 | $16+$ |
| Tenn． |  | $23+$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Texas | 4 | 12. | $7+$ | $2+$ | $1+$ | 10 | 4 |  | $10+$ | 4 |
| Utah |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Virginia |  | 2 | 16 |  |  |  | 16 |  | $10+$ |  |
| Wash． | $8+$ | 6 | 9 | $6+$ | $8+$ | 10 | $10+$ | $4+$ | ． $7+$ | $9+$ |
| Wisconsin | 5 | 3 | $1+$ | 4 | $8+$ | 10 | 5 | $4+$ | 2 | 2 |

Teble 4D. Members of Advisory Panels and Review Committees, National Science Foundation, National Institutes of Health, National Endowment for the Humanities, American Council of Learned Societies, and Social Science Research Council [e]

|  | 1965-1969 |  |  | 1970-1974 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arizona | 6 | 24 |  | $15+$ | $26+$ |
| Cal-Bert. | 134 | 1 |  | 162 | 2 |
| Cal-L.A. | 56 | 5 |  | 99 | 4 |
| Colorado | 12 | 18 |  | $50+$ | 9 |
| Florida | 11+ | 19 |  | $20+$ | $20+$ |
| Fla. St. | $3+$ | 30 |  | $11+$ | \& 4 |
| Georgia | 2 | 31 |  | 10 | ? + |
| Illinois | 47 | 8 |  | 38+ | S |
| Indiana | $96+$ | 3 |  | 75+ | 7 |
| Purdue | 7 | 23 |  | $20+$ | $20+$ |
| Iowa | $4+$ | 27 |  | $17+$ | 24 |
| Iowa St. | 5 |  |  | 0 | 35 |
| Kansas | $20+$ | 13 |  | 20 | $22+$ |
| La. St. | 1 | 32 |  | 12+ | 28 |
| Maryland | 8 | 21+ |  | 24 | 18 |
| Mass. | 0 |  |  | 33 | 14 |
| Michigan | 121 | 2 |  | $184+$ | 1 |
| Mich. St. | $16+$ | 15 |  | 20 | 22+ |
| Minnesota | 54 | 6 |  | 76+ | 6 |
| Missouri | 8 | 21+ |  | 17 | 25 |
| Nebraska | 4 | $28+$ |  | $4+$ | 23 |
| Rutgers | $21+$ | 11+ |  | 30 | 15 |
| SUNY-Buff. | $10^{+}$ | 20 |  | 27 | 16 |
| N. Car. | 35 | 10 |  | 37 | 12 |
| Ohio St. | 15 | 16 |  | 26+ | 17 |
| Oklahoma | 0 |  |  | 10 | $30+$ |
| Okla. St. | 0 |  |  | 2 | 34 |
| Oregon | $12+$ | 17 |  | 34 | 13 |
| Pern. St. | $18+$ | 14 |  | 22+ | 18 |
| Tenn. | 4 | $28+$ |  | 5 | 32 |
| Texas | 48+ | 7 |  | 95+ | 5 |
| Utah | 5 | $25+$ |  | 15+ | $26+$ |
| Virginia | 21+ | 11+ |  | $44+$ | 10 |
| Wash. | 36 | 9 |  | 52 | 8 |
| Wisconsin | 71 | 4 | : | 101 | 3 |

## Notes for 采able 4

[a] See note [a] to Table 2.
[b] Source: Annual reports of NEH, ACLS and SSRC, 1964-65 through 1973-74. The categories "Behavioral and Social Sciences" and "Arts and Humanities" are defined by the list of departments included in those divisions at the University of Maryland, College Park:
$\mathrm{BSS}=$ Afro-American Studies, Anthropology, Business and Management,
Economics, Geography, Government \& Polities, Information Systems Management, Linguistics, Psychology, Sociology, Urban Studies.

AH = American Studies, Art, Architecture, Classics, Dance, English, French \& Italian, German \& Slavic, History, Journalism, Music, Oriental \& Hebrew, Philosophy, Spanish \& Portuguese, Speech \& Dramatic Art.
[c] These totals include a few faculty members in departments not in either BSS or AH as defined in note [b].
[d] See note [d] to Table 2.
[e] See note [e] to Table 2. These figures include all panel members not in the departments of mathematical, physical sciences and engineering or agriculture and lifesciences. The totals for 1965-69 are somewhat smaller than for 1970-74 because membership lists were not available for National Endowment on the Humanities; and those for the Health Services and Mental Health Administration were not available before 1969. Since members of SSRC and ACLS serve for several years, estimates for the five-year periods were made by counting only those for 1965, 1968, 1971 and 1974 and multiplying by 2.5. For NEH panels, the counts for 1972 and 1974 were multiplied by 2.5 .


[^0]:    * W. Patrick Dolan, The Ranking Game (Lincoln, Nebraska: Evaluation of Higher Education Committee of the Study Comission on Undergraduate Education and the Education of Teachers, 1976).

[^1]:    * See Note [b] to Table 2.

